# Promoting International Cultural Exchanges: Does it really matter?

Mami Mizutori Senior Fellow, Sainsbury Institute for the Study of Japanese Arts and Cultures

15 December 2010

### **Table of Contents**

- Introduction
- The Actors of International Cultural Exchange
- Why do governments conduct international cultural exchange/cultural diplomacy?
- Underlying Notions
- So what is happening in terms of funding right now?
- Why are most developing countries cutting funds for cultural projects?
- What is 'impact'? Can 'results' be shown numerically?
- Consequence of Decline in International Cultural Exchanges

### Introduction

'Cultural exchange gives us the chance to appreciate points of commonality, and where there are differences to understand the motivations and humanity that underlines them.'

('Cultural Diplomacy' DEMOS 2007)

'In the long course of history, having people understand your thought is much greater security than another submarine.'

(William Fulbright)

- 「.....21世紀の文化外交は、今日およそ次のような目的を追求することが求められている。
  - ・自国についての理解促進とイメージの向上
  - ·紛争回避のための異なる文化間、文明間の相互理解 と信頼醸成
  - ・全人類に共通の価値や概念の育成に向けての貢献」

(文化外交の推進に関する懇談会報告書 2005)

#### The Actors of International Cultural Exchange

- Public Sector; Governments, Cultural Institutions (Japan Foundation, British Council, etc)
- Civil Society; Academia, Foundations, Cultural Organizations (museums etc.), NGOs
- Private Sector; Corporate (Corporate Social Responsibility)
- Individuals; Philanthropists, International Students, Tourists

## Why do governments conduct international cultural exchange/cultural diplomacy?

To Establish National Identity/ To Brand the Country/ To Strengthen or Change the Image of the Country (Olympics, Expos, Art Exhibitions)

To Influence Other Country's Policies ('Voice of America')

To Improve Relations with Other Countries (World Cup Soccer 2002 Co-hosted by Japan and South Korea)

To Further Mutual Understanding and Avoid Conflicts (Exchange Programs)

#### **Underlying Notions**

- -The World is connected and no country can live in isolation.
- There are many commonalities among us despite our differences, and where there are differences they have to be recognized and understood.

#### So what is happening in terms of funding right now?

#### Majority of Developed Countries:

The notion that international cultural exchange/cultural diplomacy is important continues to be emphasized. However, funding is not increasing but rather diminishing.

-Japan: 1951 Japan joins UNESCO

1972 Japan Foundation established

1988 'International Cultural Exchange'

becomes one of the three pillars of

Japan's International Posture

2005 'Report on the Promotion of Cultural
Diplomacy 'presented to Prime Minister
Koizumi

2010 Budget level of Japan Foundation,
 Cultural Agency, Ministry of Foreign
 Affairs all suffering. In particular,
 through the 'Jigyou Shiwake' Process

UK: 1934 British Council created

1997 'Cool Britania'

2010 Comprehensive Spending
Review (Cuts to core cultural
and sport organizations to be
15% over 4 years)

Emerging Countries: As to match their growing economic presence, international cultural exchange/cultural diplomacy is active.

China: 2004 Confucius Institutes

2008 Beijing Olympics

2010 Shanghai Expo

USA: Little tradition of state funding. For example, museums receive very little public funds expect the Smithsonian Institutes

## Why are most developing countries cutting funds for cultural projects?

Nation branding, establishment of identity already achieved. 'More the better' but 'money is short' and priority is lower.

'Culture' has become too diverse and versatile.

Scrutiny from taxpayer. Need to explain 'impact,' 'results' numerically.

Dangerous liaison between 'culture' and 'economic opportunities'
DPJ Manifesto 2010, Cool Britannia.

Governments delegating role to private sector and philanthropists

The downside of digitalization. Everything can be 'virtual' and 'paperless'.

#### What is 'impact'? Can 'results' be shown numerically?

Japan: 'Jigyou Shiwake'

UK: 'Assessing the Impact of Arts and Humanities Research at the University of Cambridge' (Rand Corporation Technical Report Series)

Impact through teaching/ Academic impact (advancing knowledge and understanding)/ Impact on policy/ Impact on practice/ Impact on public knowledge/ Impact on preservation of heritage/ Impact on leisure and entertainment/ Economic impact

### Consequence of Decline in International Cultural Exchanges

Short term: Less flow of ideas, concepts, people; less opportunity of debate, enhancement of mutual understanding, recognition of own identity

Long term: Danger of a dangerous combination of 'cultural hikikomori' and economic protectionism to prevail