

**BEHAVIOR OF SAVING IN MAJOR SOUTH
ASIAN COUNTRIES**

Kumarasinghe P J

PhD Supervisor: Professor Dipendra Sinha

Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University

Beppu-Japan

Contents

| | |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgement | x |
| Abstract | xiii |
| Declaration | xvi |
| CHAPTER ONE | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF THE COUNTRIES | |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Significance and objectives of the study | 2 |
| 3. How the study differs from the previous studies | 3 |
| 4. Overview of the four economies | 5 |
| 4.1. Bangladeshi economy | 5 |
| 4.1.1. Degree of social development | 6 |
| 4.1.2. Economic structure | 7 |
| 4.1.3. Gross domestic product | 10 |
| 4.1.4. Saving and investment | 12 |
| 4.2. Indian economy | 13 |
| 4.2.1. Degree of social development | 15 |
| 4.2.2. Economic structure | 16 |
| 4.2.3. Gross domestic product | 19 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 4.2.4. Saving and investment | 21 |
| 4.3. Pakistani economy | 24 |
| 4.3.1. Degree of social development | 25 |
| 4.3.2. Economic structure | 25 |
| 4.3.3. Gross domestic product | 28 |
| 4.3.4. Saving and investment | 29 |
| 4.4. Sri Lankan economy | 30 |
| 4.4.1. Degree of social development | 31 |
| 4.4.2. Economic structure | 31 |
| 4.4.3. Gross domestic product | 34 |
| 4.4.4. Saving and investment | 35 |
| 5. Data and methodologies | 37 |
| 6. Organization of the thesis | 38 |
| CHAPTER TWO | 40 |
| METHODOLOGIES FOR EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS | |
| 1. Introduction | 40 |
| 2. Econometric methodologies | 40 |
| 2.1. The two error correction models for cointegration tests | 40 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.1.1. Error correction model by Jansen | 40 |
| 2.1.2. The Johansen cointegration tests | 41 |
| 3. Methodologies for Granger causality tests | 44 |
| 3.1. Standard Granger causality tests | 45 |
| 3.2. Augmented Granger causality tests | 45 |
| 4. Methodologies for the panel study | 47 |
| 4.1. The process of the panel cointegration test | 48 |
| 4.2. Panel causality for the major South Asian countries | 49 |
| 5. The Phillips-Hansen fully modified procedure | 50 |
| 6. Unit root tests | 51 |
| 6.1. The Ng and Perron unit root tests | 51 |
| 6.2. The IPS unit root test | 52 |
| 7. Data and sources of data | 53 |
| CHAPTER THREE | 55 |
| DETERMINANTS OF SAVING FOR MAJOR SOUTH ASIAN COUNTRIES | |
| I. Introduction | 55 |
| 2. Individual country studies on the determinants of saving | 55 |
| 2.1. Empirical studies on the determinants of saving for developing countries | 55 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.2. Empirical studies on the determinants of saving for developed countries | 62 |
| 2.3. Studies on comparison of determinants of saving using developing and developed countries | 71 |
| 3. Determinants of saving using either cross-country or panel data | 74 |
| 4. The model for the determinants of saving | 84 |
| 4.1. Possible impact of fiscal policy | 86 |
| 4.2. Does income growth raise saving? | 86 |
| 4.3. The role of inflation in determining saving | 86 |
| 4.4. Demographic variables as determinants of saving | 87 |
| 4.5. Does a higher real interest rate lead to a higher saving? | 88 |
| 4.6. Borrowing constraint | 88 |
| 4.7. The effects of the terms of trade on saving | 89 |
| 4.8. Foreign saving | 90 |
| 4.9. Income distribution | 90 |
| 4.10. Level of per capita GDP | 91 |
| 4.11. The possible explanatory variables | 91 |
| 5. Determinants of saving rate for Bangladesh | 93 |
| 5.1. Empirical results of the determinants of saving rate for Bangladesh | 93 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 6. Determinants of saving rate for India | 98 |
| 6.1. Empirical results of the determinants of saving rate for India | 98 |
| 7. Determinants of saving rate for Pakistan | 103 |
| 7.1. Empirical results of the determinants of saving rate for Pakistan | 103 |
| 8. Determinants of saving rate for Sri Lanka | 108 |
| 8.1. Empirical results of the determinants of saving rate for Sri Lanka | 108 |
| CHAPTER FOUR | 114 |
| THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SAVING AND INVESTMENT | |
| 1. Introduction | 114 |
| 2. Literature on the relationship between saving and investment | 115 |
| 2.1. Cross section studies | 115 |
| 2.2. Time series studies | 120 |
| 2.3. Panel studies | 138 |
| 3. Model for the relationship between saving and investment and capital mobility | 146 |
| 4. Empirical analysis of the relationship between saving and investment | 148 |
| 4.1. Results of the error correction model by Jansen | 148 |
| 4.2. Results of the Johansen cointegration tests for saving and investment rates | 152 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5. Granger causality between saving and investment rates | 153 |
| 6. Impulse response functions of saving and investment rates for the major South Asian countries | 156 |
| 6.1. Impulse response functions for Bangladesh | 157 |
| 6.2. Impulse response functions for India | 158 |
| 6.3. Impulse response functions for Pakistan | 159 |
| 6.4. Impulse response functions for Sri Lanka | 160 |
| CHAPTER FIVE | 162 |
| THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SAVING AND ECONOMIC GROWTH | |
| 1. Introduction | 162 |
| 2. Empirical studies on the relationship between saving and economic growth | 163 |
| 2.1. Time-series and cross-country studies | 163 |
| 2.2. Panel studies | 170 |
| 3. Empirical results of the Johansen cointegration test between saving and GDP | 171 |
| 4. Causality test between saving and economic growth for the four South Asian countries | 175 |
| 4.1. Results of the augmented Granger causality test | |

| | |
|---|-----|
| between saving and economic growth for the four countries | 175 |
| 5. Impulse response functions for saving rate and economic growth | 176 |
| 5.1. Impulse response functions for saving rate and GDP | |
| growth rate for Bangladesh | 177 |
| 5.2. Impulse response functions for saving rate and GDP | |
| growth rate for India | 178 |
| 5.3. Impulse response functions for saving rate and GDP | |
| growth rate for Pakistan | 179 |
| 5.4. Impulse response functions for saving rate and GDP | |
| growth rate for Sri Lanka | 180 |
| CHAPTER SIX | 181 |
| PANEL STUDY FOR THE MAJOR SOUTH ASIAN COUNTRIES | |
| 1. Introduction | 181 |
| 2. Results of the IPS unit root tests and the panel regression | 181 |
| 3. Panel cointegration between saving and GDP, and between | |
| saving and investment rates | 184 |
| 3.1. Results of the panel cointegration tests | 186 |
| 4. Panel causality for the major South Asian countries | 187 |

CHAPTER SEVEN 190

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SAVING AND EXPORT FOR SOUTH ASIAN COUNTRIES

1. Introduction 190

2. Literature on saving and export 190

3. Data and methodology to test for the relationship
between saving and export 194

4. The empirical results for the individual countries 196

5. The empirical results for the panel study for the major
South Asian countries 204

CHAPTER EIGHT 206

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

References 212

Acknowledgement

I wish to express my deep gratitude to everyone who contributed to making my PhD thesis a reality. My PhD supervisor deserves the total credit for the evolution of the thesis toward its present form and quality. I have been extremely lucky to be a PhD student of Prof. Dr. Dipendra Sinha. He was always there to meet and give invaluable advice, to proofread and correct my papers and chapters. My supervisor was always pushing the boundaries of excellence of my academic writing to new levels, forcing me to aspire to ever-higher levels of consistency and accuracy. Without his invaluable insights on the subject, creative comments, great encouragement and constant guidance, I could not have finished this research. Thank you Professor, I have acquired vast knowledge, experience and research skills from you. I am forever in your debt.

I wish to acknowledge and express my appreciation for my Master's degree supervisor Prof. Yoichi Okita who is the Director of the Public Policy Program in GRIPS, Tokyo, Japan. Professor Okita who is warm and caring, has remained supportive to me until this day. I am very grateful since you are the one who directed me towards Professor Sinha. Thank you very much also for being an examiner for the thesis and for your valuable comments on it. I also thank Professor Zhang-Wei Bin for examining the

thesis and making many valuable suggestions.

I would like to thank the Graduate Student Research Support Program of the Ritsumeikan Center for Asia Pacific Studies for providing financial assistance. Grateful thanks are extended to all the staff of the Academic Office, Research Office, and Administrative Office of the APU for their assistance and support. Also, I am deeply indebted to the President, Dean of the APS Graduate School and all the faculty members of APU for their generosity and for giving me a hundred percent tuition reduction.

I would like to acknowledge the contributions, encouragement and comments made by all seminar class students of Professor Dipendra Sinha from Fall 2004 to Fall 2007. All of you and the good old days we had during the seminar class will be in my heart forever.

I gratefully acknowledge the help and support from all my family members and give special thanks to my parents for their encouraging words when I felt down. They made tremendous sacrifices to ensure that I had a good education.

I would like to give a huge thanks to my beloved wife Shani for being incredibly understanding, supportive, and most of all, patient. And last but not least, Lihini and Risini, when you are old enough to realize, I hope that both of you are as proud of your father as I am of you and would forgive me for leaving you alone for so long. Shani, Lihini and Risini, I could not thank you enough for all the sacrifices you have made.

Abstract

Saving behavior has important consequences for the effectiveness of fiscal policy. This is because the impact of fiscal policy on aggregate demand depends on the responses of aggregate saving to changes in fiscal stance. There is a paucity of research on saving behavior for South Asian countries. The aggregate saving of a country has three components, namely, those of private, corporate and the public sector. Saving provides the wherewithal for capital formation, which in turn, is essential for economic development. If a country has a low rate of saving over a long period of time, the country's economy would be entrapped in a vicious circle of low investment, low growth, low productivity and low real per capita income. Thus, every country would like to have a higher rate of domestic saving. The determinants of saving rate, the relationships between saving and investment, saving and economic growth, and saving and international trade are significantly different across countries and this is true for South Asian countries. Historical, economic, demographic, and institutional characteristics of the countries are some of the main reasons for the difference in the saving rates and its relationship with other variables across countries. The aim of this research is to add to the understanding of saving behavior of the four South Asian countries, namely, Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. This thesis has two

major objectives. The first is to study the determinants of saving rate for the individual countries as well as for the region using individual and panel studies. The second is to examine the relationships between saving and other variables, namely, investment, economic growth and international trade using individual country and panel data. Data for this study are from two sources. The sources are the *World Development Indicators* of the World Bank and the *International Financial Statistics* of the International Monetary Fund. Time period is different for the countries depending on the availability of data. The OLS method and the fixed effects panel least squares are used for regression analysis for individual and panel data, respectively. We use the error correction model that was used by Jansen (1996) and the Johansen cointegration tests to examine the long run relationship between the variables. If the variables are found to be cointegrated, we use the augmented Granger causality tests. Otherwise, the standard Granger causality tests are performed. We use the moving average processes with exogenous regressors for the panel Granger causality tests. The panel cointegration test in Larsson et al. (2001) is used. Saving and investment rates are found to be not cointegrated for South Asian countries. Thus, there is evidence of international capital mobility for the four countries. The Granger causality tests show that saving and investment rates are statistically independent for India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.

However, the panel study shows that there is a causality running from saving rate to investment rate for the South Asian countries. The panel study shows that there is a causality running from saving to GDP and there is also a reverse causality. For Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan, saving Granger causes GDP. We find mixed results for the individual countries for the Maizels' hypothesis (Maizels, 1968) that exports promote saving more than non-export part of GDP. However, the panel study shows that Maizels' hypothesis is valid for South Asian countries.

Declaration

I, Kumarasinghe Pivithuru Janak, declare that this thesis, submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate School of Asia Pacific Studies, University of Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific, Beppu, Japan, is wholly my own work unless otherwise referenced or acknowledged. It contains no material which has been accepted for the award of degree or diploma by any university.

Kumarsinghe Pivithuru Janak
2007